UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,060	08/01/2003	Tienyu Chiu	LUC-419/Chiu 4	3584	
	7590 05/07/200 ГТ & AREZINA LLC	EXAMINER			
ONE NORTH I	LASALLE STREET		O'CONNOR, BRIAN T		
44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/633,060	CHIU, TIENYU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BRIAN T. O'CONNOR	2619		

		BINDAY 1: C CONTON		2010	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PI	LACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION	I FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, I application, applicant must timely file one application in condition for allowance; (2) for Continued Examination (RCE) in comperiods:</li> </ol>	of the following a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, eal (with appeal fee) in com	, affidavit ipliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonth	_				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the man no event, however, will the statutory perion Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check	d for reply expire la ceither box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from thb). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) Wh	he mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1. have been filed is the date for purposes of determining under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expining set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See NOTICE OF APPEAL	.136(a). The date ng the period of ext ration date of the s by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for re	gamount o reply origir	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on	A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 n	must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	87(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would re</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter</li> </ol>	equire further cor	nsideration and/or search (s			cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the a appeal; and/or	application in bet	ter form for appeal by mate	-		ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims with NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.11)	_	corresponding number of in	папу геје	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance		21. See attached Notice of	Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the follow				. ,	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s).				•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed am how the new or amended claims would be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	e rejected is prov s follows:		o) 🔲 Will	be entered and an ex	xpianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a because applicant failed to provide a show was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1</li> </ol>	wing of good and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after entered because the affidavit or other evi- showing a good and sufficient reasons wh</li> </ol>	dence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections unde	er appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	n of the status of the claims	s after en	try is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has bee See Continuation Sheet.	<del></del>	t does NOT place the appli	ication in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure</i></li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	e Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
/Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 26	19				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner maintains the rejection of claims 1-10 under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Schessel in view of Ress.

Applicant argues, on page 6, with respect to claim 1 that "Schessel requires an external router to translate the IP addresses and directory numbers for the central office."

The Examiner maintains that Schessel also discloses a method where a IP telephone customer (column 5, lines 18-24) is using an ISP (13 of Figure 1B) to place a request over an IP connection to the Central Office (16n of Figure 1B). The calling party or caller enters the called party's telephone digits or number (222 of Figure 2) that is directly sent from the calling party's ISP over an IP connection to the Central Office and reachs the Internet Interface (27 of Figure 1A). In this scenario the external router is not required and the message packet contains the telephone number of the called party as shown in step (210 of Figure 2) where the called party digits or number is used by the Central Office.

Applicant argues, on page 6, with respect to claim 1 that "in Schessel, a directory number received by the central office cannot have been in a packet originated by a subscriber since the router server 30 will have to originate a packet containing this information". The Examiner maintains that Schessel further disclose a method where a calling party, using an IP connection from an ISP (13 of Figure

1B), enters the called party's telephone digits or number (222 of Figure 2) that is directly sent from the calling party's ISP over an IP connection to the Central Office and reaches the Internet Interface (27 of Figure 1A). Here the external router server is not required and the message packet contains the telephone number of the called party as shown in step (210 of Figure 2) where the called party digits or number is used by the Central Office.